

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,455	06/05/2002	Shahram Mihan	50544	3929
75	0 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Keil & Weinkauf			NAZARIO GONZ.	ALEZ, PORFIRIO
1350 Connecticut Avenue,NW Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, D			1621	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/049,455	MIHAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address —			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a replict if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. NONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status Status		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 101	December 2003.				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under					
	, ,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 8-20 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		lication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri					
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re-	ceived.			
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Sum	omary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/N	Aail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	•,	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper Note (Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/049,455

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2003, traversing the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 8-12 as being unpatentable over claims 8, 10 and 12 of copending Application No. 10/166,140 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argued that the instant claims, as now amended, require the inclusion of component (C) whereas the copending application does not require the inclusion of said component. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The language in claim 8 of copending Application No. 10/166,140 leaves the claim open to the addition of said component (C). Note of the use of the word "optionally" to indicate that said component could be included. Thus, the rejection of claims 8-12 and 15-20 is sustained.

Response to Amendment

2. The rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment, filed December 10, 2003, canceling claims 1-7. Further, the statutory double patenting rejection of claims 2-7 is also withdrawn.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,455

Art Unit: 1621

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 8-20 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,699,948. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the requirement of component (C) is fulfill by the optional language in the '948 patent. Thus, when the component (C) is included, the scope of claim 8 of 6,699,948 is identical to the scope of the instant claim 8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-0641. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mary Patent Examine

Art Unit 1621